## >> Coverage in California at a Glance

# **North Rural Counties**

August 2021

**Insure the Uninsured Project** 

#### BUTTE, COLUSA, DEL NORTE, GLENN, HUMBOLDT, LAKE, LASSEN, MENDOCINO, MODOC, NEVADA, PLUMAS, SHASTA, SIERRA, SISKIYOU, SUTTER, TEHAMA, TRINITY, YUBA

		Population <sup>1,2</sup>	Uninsured, 2019 <sup>3</sup>	Uninsured, Incomes ≤ 138% Federal Poverty Level (FPL), 2019 <sup>3</sup>
	BUTTE	219,186	6,000 (2.8%*)	-
CALIFORNIA TOTAL POPULATION: 39.5M	SHASTA	180,080	9,000 (4.9%)	2,000 (4.0%*)
1 mgh	HUMBOLDT	135,558	4,000 (3.1%*)	1,000 (1.9%*)
	NEVADA	99,755	4,000 (3.8%*)	-
	SUTTER	96,971	3,000 (3.3%*)	-
THE A	MENDOCINO	86,749	4,000 (5.2%*)	-
A dear the second	YUBA	78,668	8,000 (10.6%*)	4,000 (17.7%*)
	LAKE	64,386	3,000 (4.9%*)	1,000 (8.0%*)
3.1%	TEHAMA	65,084	16,000 (13.5%)	12,000 (26.1%)
	GLENN <sup>2</sup>	27,976		ata for Tehama, Colusa
	COLUSA <sup>2</sup>	21,454	Gierin,	
	SISKIYOU <sup>2</sup>	43,468		
North Rural Counties <sup>1</sup>	LASSEN <sup>2</sup>	30,818	C 000 (4 00/*)	
TOTAL POPULATION 1.2M	DEL NORTE <sup>2</sup>	27,495	6,000 (4.9%*)	-
	PLUMAS <sup>2</sup>	18,660	Aggregated Da	ata for Siskiyou
0 0 1	TRINITY <sup>2</sup>	12,700	Lassen, Del N	
	MODOC <sup>2</sup>	8,907	Trinity, Mo	doc, Sierra
	SIERRA <sup>2</sup>	3,040		
	L		* Data for these counties a	re considered statistically unstable.

\* Data for these counties are considered statistically unstable.

#### FIGURE 1. Race/Ethnicity Breakdown of Counties and Among the Uninsured, 2019<sup>3</sup>

Percent by			Count	y Popu	lation						Insured	ł					U	ninsure	d		
Race	Latinx	White	Black	AI/AN	Asian	NH/PI	2+	Latinx	White	Black	AI/AN	Asian	NH/PI	2+	Latinx	White	Black	AI/AN	Asian	NH/PI	2+
Butte	<b>16.6</b> %	67.7%	2.3%*	5.5%*	4.7%*	-	3.3%*	<b>94.1</b> %*	<b>99.4</b> %*	<b>100.0</b> % <sup>*</sup>	• 100.0%*	71.1%*	-	<b>99.7</b> %*	-	<b>0.6</b> %*	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	18.8%	<b>71.0</b> %	-	4.1%*	2.3%*	-	3.0%*	<b>91.9</b> %*	<b>95.2</b> %*	-	100.0%*	100.0%*	-	100.0%*	-	<b>4.8</b> %*	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	<b>13.9</b> %*	<b>77.0</b> %	<b>1.6</b> %*	<b>2.6</b> %*	3.5%*	-	1.4%*	100.0%*	<b>96.6</b> %*	<b>100.0</b> % <sup>*</sup>	* 81.1%*	100.0%*	-	100.0%*	-	3.4%*	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	<b>12.9</b> %*	82.1%	-	-	-	-	4.2%*	<b>75.6</b> %*	<b>99.1</b> %*	-	-	-	-	100.0%*	-	<b>0.9</b> %*	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	<b>39.5</b> %	45.1%	1 <b>.9</b> %*	-	8.4%	-	4.5%*	<b>94.4</b> %*	<b>97.6</b> %*	<b>100.0</b> % <sup>*</sup>	÷ _	100.0%*	-	100.0%*	<b>5.6</b> %*	2.4%*	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	13.7%*	<b>77.2</b> %	-	2.0%*	3.7%*	-	3.4%*	<b>100.0</b> %*	<b>93.3</b> %*	-	100.0%*	100.0%*	-	100.0%*	-	<b>6.7</b> %*	-	-	-	-	-
Yuba	<b>29.7</b> %	<b>52.8</b> %	<b>5.9</b> %*	1.4%*	<b>7.9</b> %	-	2.2%*	83.5%*	<b>92.4</b> %*	<b>90.2</b> %*	100.0%*	<b>87.9</b> %*	-	<b>92.8</b> %*	16.5%*	7.6%*	-	-	-	-	-
Lake	24.4%	<b>70.8</b> %	-	1.4%*	1.5%*	-	1.4%*	92.3%*	<b>96.0</b> %*	-	100.0%*	91.4%*	-	<b>98.9</b> %*	-	<b>4.0</b> %*	-	-	-	-	-
						Aggre	egated	Data fo	or Teha	ma, Gl	enn, an	d Colus	a Cou	nties							
	<b>39.3</b> %	<b>57.0</b> %	-	1.7%*	<b>0.9</b> %*	-	0.9%*	71.1%*	<b>96.3</b> %	-	100.0%*	100.0%*	-	100.0%*	28.9%*	3.7%*	-	-	-	-	-
			Ag	gregat	ed Data	a for Si	skiyou	, Lasser	n, Del N	lorte, F	lumas,	Trinity,	Mode	oc, and s	Sierra (	Countie	s				
	<b>8.9</b> %*	<b>78.5</b> %	-	2.5%*	<b>6.2</b> %*	-	2.2%*	100.0%*	<b>95.5</b> %*	-	100.0%*	77.0%*	-	100.0%*	-	4.5%*	-	-	-	-	-
							10 10 1		-		Lun						1.000.0				

AI/AN=American Indian/Alaska Native | NH/PI = Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 2+ = Two or More Races | \* Data for these counties are considered statistically unstable. | (-) Notes a value unavailable due to data masking.

COVERAGE AT A GLANCE | NORTH RURAL COUNTIES



Butte

Shasta

#### Percent with Employer-**Race/Ethnicity Breakdown Among North Central Counties Sponsored Coverage** with Employer-Sponsored Coverage Latinx White Black AI/AN Asian NH/PI 97,000 (45.9%) 17.8%\* 61.7% 11.4%\* 3.9%\* \_ \_ 61,000 (35.1%) 17.3%\* 73.7% **5.9**%\*

### FIGURE 2. Employer-Sponsored Coverage, 2019<sup>3</sup>


Humboldt	41,000 (31.8%)	4.7%*	91.4%*	1.8%*	-	-	-	1.5%*
Nevada	39,000 (40.6%)	1 <b>2.8</b> %*	85.1%*	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	44,000 (43.3%)	32.5%	51.2%	-	-	10.2%*	-	4.0%*
Mendocino	32,000 (37.3%)	13.7%*	74.2%	-	-	8.5%*	-	2.4%*
Yuba	31,000 (40.5%)	21.7%	53.7%	7.3%*	2.6%*	10.2%*	-	3.9%*
Lake	21,000 (33.8%)	19.5%*	75.9%	-	-	-	-	-
		Aggrega	ted Data for Teha	ama, Glenn, and	Colusa Counties			
	35,000 (30.6%)	27.0%	66.4%	-	-	-	-	-
	Aggregate	ed Data for Siski	you, Lassen, Del I	Norte, Plumas, Ti	rinity, Modoc, ar	d Sierra Counties		
	63,000 (48.0%)	8.4%*	80.2%*	-	-	-	-	1.5%*

Al/AN=American Indian/Alaska Native | NH/PI = Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 2+ = Two or More Races | \* Data for these counties are considered statistically unstable. | (-) Notes a value unavailable due to data masking.

### **COVERED CALIFORNIA**

### FIGURE 3. Percent of Counties' Populations Enrolled in Covered California, March 2021<sup>4</sup>

	Enrollment	Subsidized Enrollees	Unsubsidized Enrollees
Butte	7,320 (3.3%)	6,930 (94.7%)	400 (5.5%)
Shasta	7,350 (4.1%)	7,040 (95.8%)	310 (4.2%)
Humboldt	6,310 (4.7%)	5,900 (93.5%)	410 (6.5%)
Nevada	7,470 (7.5%)	6,910 (92.5%)	560 (7.5%)
Sutter	4,690 (4.8%)	4,550 (97.0%)	140 (3.0%)
Mendocino	4,410 (5.1%)	4,110 (93.2%)	300 (6.8%)
Yuba	2,260 (2.9%)	2,180 (96.5%)	90 (4.0%)
Lake	2,210 (3.4%)	2,060 (93.2%)	150 (6.8%)
Tehama	2,180 (3.3%)	2,120 (97.2%)	60 (2.8%)
Glenn	960 (3.4%)	940 (97.9%)	20 (2.1%)
Colusa	880 (4.1%)	860 (97.7%)	20 (2.3%)
Siskiyou	1,690 (3.9%)	1,590 (94.1%)	90 (5.3%)
Lassen	560 (1.8%)	530 (94.6%)	30 (5.4%)
Del Norte	670 (2.4%)	640 (95.5%)	30 (4.5%)
Plumas	990 (5.3%)	930 (93.9%)	60 (6.1%)
Trinity	520 (4.1%)	500 (96.2%)	20 (3.8%)
Modoc	270 (3.0%)	260 (96.3%)	10 (3.7%)
Sierra	130 (4.3%)	130 (100.0%)	10 (7.7%)




2+

5.3%\*

3.1%\*



FIGURE 4. Covered California Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity, March 2021<sup>4</sup>



Covered California's privacy policy rounds all figures to the nearest 10. As a result, grand totals shown may be slightly different from the totals in the individual plan data.



#### **FIGURE 5.** Covered California Enrollment by FPL, March 2021<sup>4</sup>

### **FIGURE 6.** Covered California by Health Plan, March 2021<sup>4</sup>


	Under 138% FPL	Between 138% and 400% FPL	Between 400% and 600% FPL	Over 600% FPL		Anthem Blue Cross of California	Blue Shield of California	Kaiser Permanente
Butte	1.8%	88.1%	6.6%	1.1%	Butte*	4,240	3,070	10
Shasta	1.5%	88.6%	6.3%	1.4%	Shasta	4,720	2,630	
Humboldt	2.1%	85.6%	7.3%	<b>1.9</b> %	Humboldt	3,830	2,480	10
Nevada	1.3%	82.6%	10.4%	<b>1.9</b> %	Nevada	3,630	3,840	10
Sutter	1.1%	93.0%	3.8%	0.6%	Sutter	2,530	2,070	90
Mendocino	1.6%	85.3%	8.2%	1.8%	Mendocino	2,220	2,190	10
Yuba	2.2%	92.5%	3.5%	0.4%	Yuba	770	730	760
Lake	2.7%	87.8%	5.9%	0.9%	Lake	1,100	1,110	10
Tehama	2.8%	88.5%	6.4%	0.5%	Tehama	1,370	810	
Glenn	1.0%	92.7%	4.2%	1.0%	Glenn	590	370	
Colusa	2.3%	90.9%	5.7%	1.1%	Colusa	430	440	
Siskiyou	1.8%	85.8%	7.1%	1.2%	Siskiyou	1,030	650	10
Lassen	1.8%	85.7%	8.9%	1.8%	Lassen	400	150	
Del Norte	3.0%	89.6%	3.0%	1.5%	Del Norte	350	310	
Plumas	3.0%	84.8%	9.1%	1.0%	Plumas	610	380	10
Trinity	<b>1.9</b> %	90.4%	5.8%	<b>1.9</b> %	Trinity	290	220	
Modoc	3.7%	88.9%	7.4%	3.7%	Modoc	140	120	
Sierra	7.7%	84.6%	15.4%	7.7%	Sierra	80	60	10
-	En	rollments where the FPL of t	he member is not known ar	e not included here.	- *E	Butte County also has 10 or less	enrollees in Health, Molina Heal	thcare, and Valley Health Plan.

Enrollments where the FPL of the member is not known are not included here.

Butte County also has 10 or less enrollees in Health, Molina Health

Covered California's privacy policy rounds all figures to the nearest 10. As a result, grand totals shown may be slightly different from the totals in the individual plan data.


### **MEDI-CAL**



### FIGURE 7. Medi-Cal Enrollment, June 2021<sup>5,6</sup>



### **TOTAL MEDI-CAL ENROLLMENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL NORTH RURAL COUNTIES' POPULATION**<sup>1,2,4</sup>



### TOTAL UNDOCUMENTED CALIFORNIANS ENROLLED IN MEDI-CAL IN THE NORTH RURAL COUNTIES<sup>5,6</sup>

4%



	Latinx	White	Black	AI/AN	Asian	Not Reported
Butte	20.4%	56.7%	2.6%	1.6%	5.6%	13.0%
Shasta	10.7%	66.7%	1.6%	2.7%	3.9%	14.3%
Humboldt	13.5%	56.7%	1.7%	7.1%	3.0%	17.9%
Nevada	13.0%	72.3%	0.5%	0.6%	1.1%	12.3%
Sutter	39.7%	<b>29.9</b> %	2.2%	0.5%	21.4%	6.3%
Mendocino	32.2%	<b>49.1</b> %	0.8%	5.1%	1.8%	11.0%
Yuba	31.4%	45.1%	3.5%	1.1%	6.4%	12.5%
Lake	27.4%	58.8%	2.3%	3.3%	1.0%	7.2%
Tehama	32.9%	52.3%	0.8%	1.1%	1.2%	11.7%
Glenn	52.8%	34.5%	0.6%	1.8%	3.3%	6.8%
Colusa	69.3%	16.3%	0.5%	0.9%	1.2%	11.6%
Siskiyou	13.5%	63.4%	1.8%	5.1%	2.3%	14.0%
Lassen	11.9%	65.8%	1.6%	3.0%	2.6%	14.8%
Del Norte	13.6%	61.1%	0.7%	9.9%	3.0%	11.5%
Plumas	12.4%	71.5%	1.1%	2.6%	0.6%	11.3%
Trinity	5.7%	73.2%	0.3%	2.3%	1.8%	14.9%
Modoc	15.8%	60.3%	-	6.2%	-	16.3%
Sierra	<b>9.8</b> %	<b>76.0</b> %	-	-	-	8.0%

FIGURE 8. Medi-Cal Enrollment, by Race/Ethnicity, June 2021<sup>7</sup>

Al/AN=American Indian/Alaska Native | (-) Notes a value unavailable due to data masking.

### FIGURE 9. Medi-Cal Enrollment in Managed Care and Fee-For-Service (FFS), May 2021<sup>8</sup>

	Medi-Cal MCP Enrollment	Anthem Blue Cross	California Health & Wellness	Partnership Health Plan of California	Medi-Cal Fee-For-Service (FFS) Enrollment
Butte	67,171	23,435	43,736		13,112
Shasta	65,546			65,546	1,589
Humboldt	56,978			56,978	1,895
Nevada	22,325	13,160	9,165		4,533
Sutter	34,972	22,594	12,378		8,095
Mendocino	38,329			38,329	2,752
Yuba	28,158	17,888	10,270		6,264
Lake	32,505			32,505	1,466
Tehama	23,304	9,970	13,334		5,987
Glenn	11,163	2,756	8,407		2,219
Colusa	8,713	4,964	3,749		1,939
Siskiyou	18,538			18,538	795
Lassen	7,993			7,993	495
Del Norte	12,038			12,038	277
Plumas	5,613	2,870	2,743		1,133
Trinity	5,081			5,081	298
Modoc	3,713			3,713	-
Sierra	599	373	226		197

Health Plan does not operate in this county



COVERAGE AT A GLANCE | NORTH RURAL COUNTIES



## **MEDICARE**

	<b>Enrolled</b> <sup>9</sup>	FFS Enrollees <sup>9</sup>	Medicare Advantage Enrollees <sup>9</sup>	Dual Eligible <sup>5**</sup>
Butte	43,769 (20.0%)	41,523 (94.9%)	2,246 (5.1%)	10,801 (24.7%)
Shasta	45,686 (25.4%)	44,367 (97.1%)	1,319 (2.9%)	10,064 (22.0%)
Humboldt	27,557 (20.3%)	26,292 (95.4%)	1,265 (4.6%)	6,920 (25.1%)
Nevada	28,326 (28.4%)	23,332 (82.4%)	4,994 (17.6%)	3,258 (11.5%)
Sutter	16,323 (16.8%)	15,557 (95.3%)	766 (4.7%)	5,122 (31.4%)
Mendocino	21,944 (25.3%)	19,917 (90.8%)	2,027 (9.2%)	4,816 (21.9%)
Yuba	11,835 (15.0%)	10,633 (89.8%)	1,202 (10.2%)	3,835 (32.4%)
Lake	16,283 (25.3%)	15,197 (93.3%)	1,086 (6.7%)	5,126 (31.5%)
Tehama	14,411 (22.1%)	13,981 (97.0%)	430 (3.0%)	3,807 (26.4%)
Glenn	5,470 (19.6%)	5,212 (95.3%)	258 (4.7%)	1,575 (28.8%)
Colusa	3,453 (16.1%)	3,238 (93.8%)	215 (6.2%)	1,075 (31.1%)
Siskiyou	12,853 (29.6%)	12,485 (97.1%)	368 (2.9%)	2,939 (22.9%)
Lassen	4,861 (15.8%)	4,706 (96.8%)	155 (3.2%)	1,159 (23.8%)
Del Norte	6,031 (21.9%)	5,827 (96.6%)	204 (3.4%)	1,748 (29.0%)
Plumas	5,982 (32.1%)	5,618 (93.9%)	364 (6.1%)	1,007 (16.8%)
Trinity	3,424 (27.0%)	3,317 (96.9%)	107 (3.1%)	795 (23.2%)
Modoc	2,407 (27.0%)	2,337 (97.1%)	70 (2.9%)	547 (22.7%)
Sierra	858 (28.2%)	820 (95.6%)	38 (4.4%)	176 (20.5%)

### FIGURE 10. Medicare Enrollment and Percent of Counties' Population, 2019

\*\*Dual Eligibles are those eligible for Medicare and Medi-Cal, also referred to as Medi Medis. The dual eligible enrollment data is from June 2021.

### FIGURE 11. Medicare Enrollment, by Race/Ethnicity, 2019

	Race/Ethnicity Breakdown Among North Rural Counties Covered by Medicare										
	Latinx	White	Black	AI/AN	Asian	NH/PI	2+				
Butte	-	87.8%*	-	-	-	-	-				
Shasta	2.2%*	86.7%	-	2.2%*	-	-	-				
Humboldt	-	82.9%*	-	-	<b>2.9</b> %*	-	-				
Nevada	3.6%*	<b>96.4</b> %*	-	-	-	-	-				
Sutter	15.0%*	70.0%	-	-	5.0%*	-	-				
Mendocino	-	96.0%*	-	-	-	-	-				
Yuba	-	77.8%	-	-	-	-	-				
Lake	<b>5.9</b> %*	82.4%	-	-	-	-	-				
_		Aggregated	Data for Teham	a, Glenn, and Col	usa Counties						
	-	95.5%*	-	-	-	-	-				
-	Aggregated	Data for Siskiyou,	Lassen, Del No	rte, Plumas, Trini	ty, Modoc, and S	ierra Counties					
	9.6%*	86.2%	-	3.4%*	-	-	3.4%*				

 $\label{eq:Al/AN} Algebra Alg$ 





### NOTES

All decimals rounded to nearest tenth.

- 1. United States Census Bureau, 2019 ACS 1 Year Demographic and Housing Estimates, Accessed: July 20, 2021.
- 2. United States Census Bureau, 2019 ACS 5 Year Demographic and Housing Estimates, Accessed: July 20, 2021.
- 3. UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, 2019 California Health Interview Survey, Public Use File, Accessed: March 19, 2021.
- 4. Covered California, March 2021, <u>Active Member Profile</u>, Accessed: July 22, 2021
- 5. Department of Health Care Services, Medi-Cal Certified Eligibles Data Table by County, June 2021, Accessed: July 22, 2021.
- 6. Department of Health Care Services, Medi-Cal Certified Eligibles Data Table by County and Aid Code Group, June 2021, Accessed: July 22, 2021.
- 7. Department of Health Care Services, <u>Medi-Cal Certified Eligibles Data Table Race/Ethnicity and Age</u>, June 2021, Accessed: July 22, 2021.
- 8. Department of Health Care Services, Medi-Cal Managed Care Enrollment Report, May 2021, Accessed: July 22, 2021.
- 9. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, 2019 State/County Table All Beneficiaries, Public Use File, Accessed: May 14, 2021.
- 10. UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, <u>2019 California Health Interview Survey</u>, Public Use File, Accessed: March 19, 2021.

### **About ITUP**

ITUP is an independent, nonprofit, health policy institute that has been a central voice in the California health care and health reform landscape for more than two decades. ITUP serves as a trusted expert, grounded in statewide and regional connections with a network of policymakers, health care leaders, and stakeholders. The mission of ITUP is to promote innovative and workable policy solutions that expand health care access and improve the health of all Californians. ITUP is generously supported by the following funders:

- California Community Foundation
- California Health Care Foundation
- The California Endowment
- The California Wellness Foundation



