


North Rural Counties

OCTOBER 2020

BUTTE, COLUSA, DEL NORTE, GLENN, HUMBOLDT, LAKE, LASSEN, MENDOCINO, MODOC, NEVADA, PLUMAS, SHASTA, SIERRA, SISKIYOU, SUTTER, TEHAMA, TRINITY, YUBA



Uninsured, Incomes < 138% Federal Poverty Level (FPL), 2018³


	Population ^{1,2}	Uninsured, 2018 ³	Uninsured, Incomes < 138% Federal Poverty Level (FPL), 2018 ³
BUTTE	231,256	8,000* (3.5%)	2,000* (5.4%)
SHASTA	180,040	7,000* (4.1%)	-
HUMBOLDT	136,373	6,000* (4.9%)	2,000* (4.1%)
NEVADA	99,696	9,000* (8.9%)	1,000* (4.0%)
SUTTER	96,807	7,000* (6.8%)	2,000* (9.7%)
MENDOCINO	87,606	8,000* (9.6%)	3,000* (16.0%)
YUBA	78,041	3,000* (3.3%)	1,000* (4.7%)
LAKE	64,382	4,000* (5.9%)	3,000* (10.3%)
TEHAMA	63,373	13,000* (10.9%)	8,000* (20.0%)
GLENN	27,897	Aggregated Data for Tehama, Glenn, Colusa	
COLUSA	21,464		
SISKIYOU	43,540	13,000* (9.7%) Aggregated Data for Siskiyou, Lassen, Del Norte, Plumas, Trinity, Modoc, Sierra	
LASSEN	31,185		
DEL NORTE	27,424		
PLUMAS	18,699		
TRINITY	12,862		
MODOC	8,938		
SIERRA	2,930		

* Data for these counties are considered statistically unstable or may be unavailable because of low sample size.

Figure 1. Potential Impact of COVID-19 on Employer-Sponsored Coverage

County	Employee-Sponsored Coverage ² , 2018*
BUTTE	101,000 (45.3%)
SHASTA	65,000 (36.9%)
HUMBOLDT	46,000* (35.4%)
NEVADA	27,000 (28.1%)
SUTTER	35,000 (35.5%)
MENDOCINO	32,000 (37.5%)
YUBA	25,000 (33.2%)
LAKE	13,000 (20.2%)
TEHAMA GLENN COLUSA	38,000 (32.9%)
SISKIYOU LASSEN DEL NORTE PLUMAS TRINITY MODOC SIERRA	51,000 (37.5%)

COVID-19 Impact on Unemployment Rates^{4,5}



* Data for these counties are considered statistically unstable.



COVERED CALIFORNIA

Figure 2. Covered California Enrollment by County, March 2020⁶

	Enrollment and Percent of County		Subsidized Enrollees and Percent of County		Unsubsidized Enrollees and Percent of County	
	Enrollment	Percent of County	Enrollment	Percent of County	Enrollment	Percent of County
BUTTE	7,260	3.1%	6,850	94.4%	420	5.8%
SHASTA	7,580	4.2%	7,260	95.8%	320	4.2%
HUMBOLDT	6,270	4.6%	5,890	93.9%	380	6.1%
NEVADA	7,260	7.3%	6,700	92.3%	560	7.7%
SUTTER	4,720	4.9%	4,550	96.4%	170	3.6%
MENDOCINO	4,290	4.9%	4,010	93.5%	280	6.5%
YUBA	2,260	2.9%	2,180	96.5%	90	4.0%
LAKE	2,190	3.4%	2,070	94.5%	120	5.5%
TEHAMA	2,230	3.5%	2,170	97.3%	60	2.7%
GLENN	960	3.4%	920	95.8%	40	4.2%
COLUSA	850	4.0%	830	97.6%	30	3.5%
SISKIYOU	1,600	3.7%	1,500	93.8%	90	5.6%
LASSEN	500	1.6%	480	96.0%	20	4.0%
DEL NORTE	670	2.4%	640	95.5%	30	4.5%
PLUMAS	980	5.2%	920	93.9%	60	6.1%
TRINITY	540	4.2%	510	94.4%	20	3.7%
MODOC	260	2.9%	250	96.2%	10	3.8%
SIERRA	110	3.8%	100	90.9%	10	9.1%




Figure 3. Covered California Enrollment by FPL, March 2020⁶

	Under 138% FPL	Between 138 and 400% FPL	Between 400 and 600% FPL	Over 600% FPL		Under 138% FPL	Between 138 and 400% FPL	Between 400 and 600% FPL	Over 600% FPL
	BUTTE	1.8%	88.7%	5.6%		0.8%	GLENN	1.2%	94.1%
SHASTA	1.2%	91.0%	4.9%	0.9%	COLUSA	2.1%	91.7%	4.2%	1.0%
HUMBOLDT	2.4%	87.4%	5.7%	1.3%	SISKIYOU	1.9%	90.0%	4.4%	1.3%
NEVADA	2.2%	84.6%	7.9%	1.7%	LASSEN	2.0%	88.0%	10.0%	2.0%
SUTTER	1.5%	93.0%	3.4%	0.6%	DEL NORTE	1.5%	91.0%	4.5%	1.5%
MENDOCINO	1.6%	87.9%	5.8%	0.9%	PLUMAS	3.1%	86.7%	6.1%	1.0%
YUBA	1.8%	92.5%	3.1%	0.4%	TRINITY	1.9%	90.7%	3.7%	1.9%
LAKE	3.2%	87.7%	5.5%	0.9%	MODOC*	3.8%	92.3%	3.8%	3.8%
TEHAMA	2.7%	90.1%	5.4%	0.4%	SIERRA*	9.1%	100%	9.1%	9.1%

* Covered California's privacy policy rounds all figures to the nearest 10, as a result, grand totals shown may be slightly different from the totals in the individual plan data.



COVERED CALIFORNIA

Figure 4. Covered California Enrollment by Health Plan, March 2020⁶




Figure 5. Impact of COVID-19 on Covered California Enrollment⁷

COVID-19 SEP* Enrollment and Percent Change from 2019

PRE-COVID-19 • Feb 1 - Mar 19, 2020		COVID-19 • Mar 20 - June 5, 2020	
↑ 40%	350	BUTTE 670	↑ 81%
↑ 48%	340	SHASTA 610	↑ 91%
↑ 24%	210	HUMBOLDT 380	↑ 46%
↑ 11%	210	NEVADA 480	↑ 78%
↑ 18%	200	SUTTER 400	↑ 60%
↑ 100%	180	MENDOCINO 350	↑ 133%
↓ -6%	150	YUBA 230	↑ 77%
↑ 29%	90	LAKE 230	↑ 130%
↓ -14%	60	TEHAMA 170	↑ 89%
↑ 150%	50	GLENN 70	↑ 75%
↑ 100%	40	COLUSA 100	↑ 233%
↑ 17%	70	SISKIYOU 120	↑ 71%
↑ 50%	30	LASSEN 60	↑ 100%
- 0%	30	DEL NORTE 70	↑ 25%
↑ 50%	30	PLUMAS 70	↑ 133%
↑ 100%	22	TRINITY 20	↓ -33%
- 0%	20	MODOC 20	↑ 100%
- 0%	10	SIERRA 10	- 0%

CALIFORNIA

Feb 1 - Mar 19 ↑ 23.6%

Mar 20 - June 5 ↑ 125.3%

* SEP=Special Enrollment Period



MEDI-CAL

Figure 6. Medi-Cal Enrollment by Population and Percent of County, March 2020^{8,9}

31.5%
of Californians
are Enrolled in
Medi-Cal Statewide




Figure 7. Medi-Cal Enrollment, by County, June 2020

	Butte	Shasta	Humboldt	Nevada	Sutter	Mendocino	Yuba	Lake	Tehama
Enrollment by Health Plan ⁹	Anthem Blue Cross	Partnership Health Plan of CA	Partnership Health Plan of CA	Anthem Blue Cross	Anthem Blue Cross	Partnership Health Plan of CA	Anthem Blue Cross	Partnership Health Plan of CA	Anthem Blue Cross
	22,057	59,315	52,786	11,310	20,955	35,256	16,212	29,812	8,881
Fee-for-Service Enrollment ^{8,9}	CA Health and Wellness			CA Health and Wellness	CA Health and Wellness		CA Health and Wellness		CA Health and Wellness
	39,449			8,362	10,852		9,516		12,211
Fee-for-Service Enrollment ^{8,9}	13,262	1,669	1,939	4,283	8,090	2,588	5,978	1,349	5,576

	Glenn	Colusa	Siskiyou	Lassen	Del Norte	Plumas	Trinity	Modoc	Sierra
Enrollment by Health Plan ⁹	Anthem Blue Cross	Anthem Blue Cross	Partnership Health Plan of CA	Partnership Health Plan of CA	Partnership Health Plan of CA	Anthem Blue Cross	Partnership Health Plan of CA	Partnership Health Plan of CA	Anthem Blue Cross
	2,582	4,552	16,829	7,339	11,228	2,628	4,542	3,578	347
Fee-for-Service Enrollment ^{8,9}	CA Health and Wellness	CA Health and Wellness				CA Health and Wellness			CA Health and Wellness
	7,609	3,344				2,568			230
Fee-for-Service Enrollment ^{8,9}	2,179	1,829	917	330	317	1,148	104	-	192



MEDI-CAL



Medi-Cal Enrollment is expected to increase by **2 MILLION ENROLLEES** due to the COVID-19 Pandemic.¹⁰

NOTES

All decimals rounded to nearest tenth.

1. United States Census Bureau, [2018 ACS Demographic and Housing Estimates](#), Accessed: August 3, 2020.
2. United States Census Bureau, [2018 ACS 5-Year Demographic and Housing Estimates](#), Accessed: August 3, 2020.
3. UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, [2018 California Health Interview Survey, Public Use File](#), Accessed: August 3, 2020.
4. State of California Employment Development Department, [Local Area Profile](#), June 2020.
5. State of California Employment Development Department, [Local Area Profile](#), February 2020.
6. Covered California, [March 2020 Active Member Profile](#), Accessed: August 3, 2020.
7. Covered California, [Special Enrollment Data by County](#), June 12, 2020.
8. Department of Health Care Services, [March 2020 Medi-Cal Certified Eligibles Data Table by County and Aid Code Group](#), June 2020, Accessed: August 4, 2020.
9. Department of Health Care Services, [Medi-Cal Managed Care Enrollment Report](#), June 2020, Accessed: August 4, 2020.
10. Department of Finance, [May Revision, Health and Human Services](#), May 14, 2020.

About ITUP

Insure the Uninsured Project (ITUP) is a Sacramento-based nonprofit health policy institute that for more than two decades has provided expert analysis and facilitated convenings for California policymakers and decisionmakers focused on health reform.

The mission of ITUP is to promote innovative and workable policy solutions that expand health care access and improve the health of Californians, through policy-focused research and broad-based stakeholder engagement.

ITUP is generously supported by the following funders:

- California Community Foundation
- California Health Care Foundation
- Kaiser Permanente
- The California Endowment
- The California Wellness Foundation



@ITUP



@InsuretheUninsuredProject



@InsuretheUninsuredProject



www.itup.org